UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO UNIFORM CIVIL PRACTICE STANDARDS OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGES

Chief Magistrate Judge Michael E. Hegarty

Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse Courtroom: A501 Chambers: A542

Magistrate Judge Scott T. Varholak

Alfred A. Arraj United States Courthouse Courtroom: A402 Chambers: A432

Magistrate Judge Reid Neureiter

Byron G. Rogers United States Courthouse Courtroom: C203 Chambers: C252

Magistrate Judge James M. Candelaria

U.S. District Court / La Plata County Courthouse Suite 150

Magistrate Judge Maritza Dominguez Braswell

Colorado Springs Courthouse Courtroom: Suite 101 Chambers: Suite 100

Magistrate Judge Susan Prose

Byron G. Rogers United States Courthouse Courtroom: C205 Chambers: C254

Magistrate Judge Kathryn A. Starnella

Byron G. Rogers United States Courthouse Courtroom: C204 Chambers: C253

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INT	RODUCTION	3
1. 2.	THE ROLE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGES	3
3. 4.		
CON	2. THE ROLE OF MAGISTRATE JUDGES 3 3. PURPOSE, AUTHORITY, AND APPLICATION OF THESE PRACTICE STANDARDS 4 4. ATTORNEY MENTORING & TRAINING 4 COMMUNICATION WITH CHAMBERS 4 COURT APPEARANCE 6 1. LOCATION 6 2. DECORUM 6 3. REMOTE APPEARANCES 6 CHEDULING CONFERENCES 7 IOTIONS PRACTICE 8 1. DUTY TO MEET AND CONFER 8 2. FORMATTING AND PAGE LIMITS 8 3. MOTIONS HEARINGS 9 4. MOTIONS TO RESTRICT 9 5. MOTIONS FOR PRACTICE ORDERS GOVERNING THE EXCHANGE OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION DURING 10 LITIGATION 9 6. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY 10 7. MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND MODIFICATION 10 7. MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 10. MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 10. MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 11. GENERAL INFORMATION 16	
COU	URT APPEARANCE	6
SCH	HEDULING CONFERENCES	7
MO		
1.	DUTY TO MEET AND CONFER	8
2.	FORMATTING AND PAGE LIMITS	8
3.		
4.		
Liti		
DISC		
1.	GENERAL INFORMATION	16
2.	DUTY TO MEET AND CONFER BEFORE FILING	16
3.	CONTACTING CHAMBERS WITH DISCOVERY DISPUTES	
4.	COURT INSTRUCTIONS/RESOLUTION	16
SET	TTLEMENT CONFERENCES	20
1.	In General	20
2.	PARTY ATTENDANCE	
3.	FULL AUTHORITY	21
4.	SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS	
5	DDE SETTI EMENT CONFEDENCE CALL	

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of a Uniform Practice Standard is to increase accessibility. The following document contains the base instructions for appearing before each Magistrate Judge. In some instances, individualized preferences are noted in the form of a chart with additional or substituted instructions for each particular Magistrate Judge.

1. The Court's Mission

The mission of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado is "to serve the public by providing a fair and impartial forum that ensures equal access to justice in accordance with the rule of law, protects rights and liberties of all persons, and resolves cases in a timely and efficient manner." Litigation is an emotional, stressful, time-consuming, and expensive process. The Court's mission is best served when litigants treat each other with civility. The notion that litigation is necessarily an "adversarial" process is misguided. It is possible for counsel and parties to disagree and zealously advocate their positions, while at the same time treating each other with courtesy and respect. Zealousness does not, under any circumstances, justify conduct that is unprofessional, discourteous, or uncivil toward any person involved in the legal system." Colo. RPC Preamble ¶¶ 5, 9; see also D.C.COLO.LAttyR 2(a). The court expects nothing less than professionalism.

2. The Role of Magistrate Judges

Magistrate Judges are judicial officers of the District Court, appointed by the District Judges of the Court. Magistrate Judges handle a broad range of judicial responsibilities which may vary with the specific needs of each District Court.

Magistrate Judges serve renewable eight-year terms. Colorado is a unique district in that it has two part-time Magistrate Judges (Durango and Grand Junction). Six full-time Magistrate Judge positions are allotted to Denver and one to Colorado Springs. Effective 2024, the Court has designated a Chief Magistrate Judge based on seniority to serve a four-year term.

In criminal cases, federal Magistrate Judges have the authority to issue warrants and conduct preliminary proceedings, such as detention hearings. Magistrate Judges can preside over petty offense trials and misdemeanors with the consent of defendants.

In civil cases, Magistrate Judges may preside over all aspects of a case when all parties consent. Parties may consent by filing the appropriate consent form available on the United States Courts website or linked here. Consenting to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge does not take away a litigant's substantive or procedural rights that would otherwise be provided if the litigant appeared before a District Judge. If all parties do not consent, a District Judge will preside over the litigation and the Magistrate Judge may continue on the case to hear matters the District Judge refers (e.g., convening scheduling and status conferences, determining pretrial matters such as discovery, issuing orders on referred non-dispositive motions, and providing recommendations on referred dispositive motions).

By statute passed in 1990, the formal title for the position is "United States Magistrate Judge." Magistrate Judges should be referred to as "Magistrate Judge," "Judge," or "Your Honor." Similarly, the Chief Magistrate Judge should be referred to as "Chief Magistrate Judge," "Judge," or "Your Honor." Neither in written submissions nor in person should any Magistrate Judge be referred to as a "magistrate."

3. Purpose, Authority, and Application of These Practice Standards

Consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 1, these Practice Standards are intended to facilitate the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every civil action. These Practice Standards may be modified or supplemented by orders entered in specific cases.

Parties appearing in federal court, including attorneys and *pro se* litigants, must know and comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, the Electronic Case Filing Procedures, and the Local Rules of Practice ("Rules"). These Practice Standards should be applied in conjunction with those Rules, but in the event of a direct conflict, the Rules control.

Where a District Judge is the presiding judicial officer and there is a conflict between the two sets of standards, the District Judge's practice standards will apply.

4. Attorney Mentoring & Training

The Preamble to the Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct acknowledges that lawyers should "seek improvement of the law, access to the legal system, the administration of justice, and the quality of service rendered by the legal profession." These goals are best achieved when experienced lawyers provide young, diverse, or inexperienced lawyers with opportunities to participate in judicial proceedings. The Court welcomes the participation of young, inexperienced, and diverse attorneys, in litigation, and the parties should advise the Court prior to any hearing (including in any request for oral argument) if a lawyer of four or fewer years of experience will be arguing the motion. Where appropriate, the Court may permit more experienced counsel of record to assist the less experienced attorney who is arguing the motion. The Court encourages seasoned lawyers to facilitate the mentoring and development of these lawyers that will enrich the legal profession and the work of the United States District Court.

COMMUNICATION WITH CHAMBERS

Magistrate Judges' Chambers consist of the Judge, their law clerks, and an assigned Courtroom Deputy. When contacting Chambers directly, therefore, you are speaking with a professional— and in the case of law clerks, you are speaking with a lawyer. Please conduct all communications with the appropriate level of professionalism, and please instruct your staff to do the same.

The Court may not engage in *ex parte* communications with counsel or parties, except when the parties have consented for the purpose of discussing settlement. This means that unilaterally contacting Chambers is generally inappropriate. Chambers, however, is available to

answer questions of an administrative or logistical nature. Depending on the Chambers, you may contact law clerks either at the phone number or email listed in the table below. Please keep in mind, however, that staff is not permitted to provide legal advice, interpret orders or rules, grant oral requests, or provide specific information about the progress of any pending motion.

Please direct questions regarding courtroom technology to the Courtroom Deputy. The Courtroom Deputy may also be contacted to obtain copies of the audio recordings.

All documents required to be submitted to Chambers pursuant to local rules, court orders, or these Practice Standards shall be submitted via email to the Chambers' email specified in the table below. **Please include the case number, case name, and document description in the subject line of the email.** For information about electronic filing, please contact the Clerk's Office at (303) 844-3433. *Pro se* parties may contact the *Pro Se* Clinic at (303) 380-8786 regarding how to apply for authorization to e-file or see the instructions currently available through Electronic Case Filing (ECF) | US District Court of Colorado (uscourts.gov).

CHAMBERS CONTACT	COURTROOM DEPUTY CONTACT
INFORMATION	INFORMATION
Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty	Christopher Thompson
(303) 844-4507	(303) 335-2326
Hegarty_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov	Christopher_D_Thompson@cod.uscourts.gov
Judge Varholak	Monique Ortiz
(303) 335-2365	(303) 335-2050
Varholak_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov	Monique_Ortiz@cod.uscourts.gov
Judge Neureiter (303) 335-2403 Neureiter_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov	Roman Villa (303) 335-2112 No email provided.
Judge Candelaria	Patricia Berg (970) 259-0542 Patricia_Berg@cod.uscourts.gov
Judge Dominguez Braswell (719) 575-03228 Braswell_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov (email preferred)	Elizabeth Lopez Vaughan No phone number provided. Elizabeth_LopezVaughan@cod.uscourts.gov

Judge Prose (303) 335-2722 Prose_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov	Tram Vo (303) 335-2061 or (303) 335-2726 (courtroom) Tram_Vo@cod.uscourts.gov
Judge Starnella (303) 335-2770 Starnella_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov	Laura Galera (303) 335-2104 Laura_Galera@cod.uscourts.gov

COURT APPEARANCE

1. Location

Unless otherwise communicated, all proceedings are conducted in person in the courtroom. Please be advised that anyone seeking entry into the Courthouse will be required to show valid photo identification.

2. <u>Decorum</u>

Court time is valuable for all involved. Please be on time! Be prepared for any conference, hearing, or other setting. Unless directed otherwise, please observe traditional courtroom decorum by rising to address the Court and requesting permission to approach the bench and any witness. Even when appearing by teleconference or videoconference, you are appearing in Court and should dress and conduct yourself accordingly.

In this District, Magistrate Judges are not assigned an official court reporter. However, all proceedings are audio-recorded by the courtroom deputy. Accordingly, when speaking, please speak into a microphone, this is the only method by which the proceeding is recorded. Audio recordings may be requested from the courtroom deputy. For transcripts, please contact Patterson Transcription Company at (303) 755-4536 or AB Litigation Services at (303) 629-8534.

3. Remote Appearances

Since the COVID pandemic, certain Magistrate Judges have been conducting routine conferences, such as scheduling conferences or status conferences, by telephone or videoconference rather than in person. Be sure to check whether a conference or hearing is set as in-person or remote (telephone/video). Litigants and counsel whose offices are located outside the Denver metropolitan area or who cannot reasonably make a personal appearance at a court setting may request to appear by telephone or videoconference. Requests to appear by telephone or videoconference may be made by calling Chambers or by emailing Chambers and copying all counsel of record five (5) business days in advance of the setting unless otherwise directed by the Court. Requests must set forth good cause for remote appearance. When a request is granted, all parties authorized to participate remotely must jointly contact the Court at the scheduled time of

the hearing. Please introduce yourself each time it is not clear who is speaking and mute your phone or videoconference when you are not speaking.

SCHEDULING CONFERENCES

The Court may set a Scheduling Conference at its discretion or at the request of the parties. The parties should file a proposed scheduling order through ECF. *Pro se* parties who do not have access to the ECF system may submit requests directly to the Clerk's office; however, if another party in the case has access to the ECF filing system, that party shall bear the responsibility of filing the joint scheduling order. The format of the proposed scheduling order should follow the Court's form Proposed Scheduling Order and Instructions, which is available under "Forms and Instructions" on the Court's website.

If the Court, at its discretion, sets a Scheduling Conference, the parties should be prepared to discuss the specific facts of the case and their particular needs. Some typical issues that are covered during the Scheduling Conference may include: (1) the specific claims and legal principles of the underlying claims and the witnesses and evidence that will be used to support those claims; (2) the factual and legal bases for any affirmative defenses; (3) any special issues facing the parties (4) the basis for the schedule proposed; (5) the scope of anticipated Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") discovery; (6) the manner by which ESI will be exchanged; (7) the need for a Protective Order and/or ESI protocol; and (8) the status of any alternative dispute resolution attempts.

All attorneys who attend the Scheduling Conference shall ensure that they have sufficient knowledge to intelligently discuss the claims and defenses of the case.

JUDGE	INDIVIDUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHEDULING CONFERENCES
Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty	Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty generally sets a scheduling conference in each case and will almost always have a substantive discussion about the claims and defenses, the need for the requested discovery, and the potential of settlement. He requires the parties to jointly email Chambers a Word copy of the proposed Scheduling Order by the filing deadline.
Judge Varholak	Judge Varholak will almost always set a scheduling conference but will usually approve a stipulated scheduling order and will only have a substantive discussion about the case if he has specific concerns with the proposed scheduling order.
Judge Neureiter	Judge Neureiter will always set a scheduling conference and will almost always have a substantive discussion about the claims and defenses and

	the need for the requested discovery. Unless your case is particularly complicated, the presumptive number of depositions is almost never justified. Please be prepared to justify the requested number of depositions and amount of written discovery.
Judge Candelaria	Judge Candelaria will almost always set a Scheduling Conference but will usually approve a stipulated scheduling order and will only have a substantive discussion about the case if he has specific concerns with the proposed scheduling order.
Judge Dominguez Braswell	Judge Dominguez Braswell only sets a scheduling conference when she determines it is necessary, or when the parties request one. The parties may request a scheduling conference by including that request on the first page of the Proposed Scheduling Order.
Judge Prose	No additional requirements or instructions.
Judge Starnella	No additional requirements or instructions.

MOTIONS PRACTICE¹

1. Duty to Meet and Confer

Prior to filing a motion or initiating the discovery dispute process, parties have a duty to meet and confer or make good faith efforts to confer. *See* D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(a). When parties have substantive disagreements, such "good faith efforts" generally require meeting either face to face, over video conference, or by telephone, and not solely through email or written correspondence. Failure to comply may result in the motion being stricken or denied.

2. Formatting and Page Limits

For cases where the Magistrate Judge is the presiding judge, parties should make reasonable efforts to use Westlaw citations. All motions shall be formatted with Arial or Times New Roman black ink in 12-point font. Excluding summary judgment, if there are no formatting instructions, parties' motions and responses are limited to **fifteen (15) pages** and replies are limited to **ten (10) pages**. Page limitations do not include the case caption, signature block,

_

¹ Motions filed in a case where the Magistrate Judge is the presiding judge should comport with the standards set forth in this section. However, where the Magistrate Judge is the referral judge, all motions—except discovery motions, motions to restrict, and motions for a protective order—must comply with the presiding judge's practice standards.

certificate of service, or the Separate Statement of Facts table filed with summary judgment motions. Deviations from this rule will not be permitted without leave of Court, which will be granted with reluctance and only upon a showing of good cause. Any motion for leave to file excess pages shall be filed at least **one** (1) **business day** prior to the deadline for filing the brief at issue. In some cases, the Court may order an expedited briefing schedule with different page limits. Cf. D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(d). Magistrate Judges may strike any motion or brief they consider to be verbose, redundant, unintelligible, or that otherwise fails to comply with these standards and/or the applicable court rules.

3. Motions Hearings

The Court may set oral argument on motions at its discretion. The parties may request oral argument, but the Court, in its discretion, also may rule on motions without oral argument.²

4. Motions to Restrict

Parties seeking to file a motion to restrict access to confidential information must comply with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2 and failure to comply will result in the striking of the motion and the public availability of the information and documents at issue.

5. <u>Motions for Practice Orders Governing the Exchange of Confidential Information During Litigation</u>

Parties and counsel should meet and confer about the need for a protective order and engage in best efforts to agree on proposed language. Parties must file a joint motion that attaches their proposed protective order as an exhibit to the motion.³ If the parties cannot agree on language of the proposed order, the joint motion must describe the nature of the dispute and the Parties must set forth the competing language in the proposed protective order itself.⁴

The proposed order, however, must state that (1) the party designating information as confidential bears the burden of establishing that good cause exists for the disputed information to be treated as confidential and (2) the Court will not retain jurisdiction over a matter once the case is closed. The proposed order should not permit the filing of opposed discovery motions and should instead reflect the discovery dispute process discussed below. The Court will generally not accept protective orders that are unilateral, i.e., that only protect confidential information produced by one side.

² If the parties seek oral argument as a training opportunity for new or inexperienced counsel, they should so indicate in their request for oral argument.

³ Judge Hegarty and Judge Varholak also require parties to submit Word versions of the proposed protective orders to more efficiently modify and docket orders.

⁴ When practical, Judge Varholak encourages the parties to stipulate to the entry of the template protective order available here (Judge Varholak's webpage on the Court's website). For Judge Varholak, to the extent a party objects to the form of protective order proposed by the moving party, the objecting party shall attach as an exhibit to its response to the motion a copy of the protective order proposed by the moving party which strikes through the text the objecting party proposes to delete and underlines the text the objecting party proposes to add.

6. Motion to Exclude Expert Testimony

The parties shall comply with the presiding District Judge's practice standards for any motion to exclude expert testimony referred to the Court. For matters where the Magistrate Judge is the presiding judge, the following standards apply.

Unless otherwise ordered, all motions filed under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 and any motion to strike an expert (on basis of discovery violation) shall be filed no later than the deadline for filing dispositive motions that is set forth in the scheduling order. The opponent's failure to bring the motion does not relieve the proponent of the burden to show that the testimony is admissible at trial. The motion must identify with specificity, each opinion the moving party wants to exclude and the grounds on which that opinion is challenged. The movant and respondent must state if they are requesting an evidentiary hearing and why it is necessary. If a hearing is set, at least seven days prior to the hearing, the parties shall file a joint witness list and joint exhibit list and exchange any exhibits anticipated to be introduced at the hearing.

7. Motions for Extension of Time and Modification

Motions must comply with the Fed. Rule of Civ. P. 6 and D.C.COLO.LCivR 6.1 and 7.1(a). Motions for extension of time or modification will be disfavored and they must be filed 3 business days before the operative deadline. Motions must include a statement discussing the number of extensions previously granted and if that extension will affect other currently set dates. The movant must demonstrate that they have been diligent during the time allotted. Good cause must be shown, and the Court will take into consideration any potential prejudice to the opposing party.

7.1. <u>Motions to Stay Discovery</u>

The District of Colorado generally disfavors motions to stay discovery. However, the Court will consider such motions on a case-by-case basis. Motions to stay discovery may be more appropriate where threshold issues of jurisdiction, exhaustion, claim and issue preclusion, or immunity have been raised, but the decision to stay a case is within the sound discretion of each judge and will be decided on a case-by-case basis.

8. Motions to Dismiss

To the extent the case is pending before a Magistrate Judge on consent, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b) motions to dismiss are discouraged if the alleged defect is correctable by the filing of an amended pleading. The parties must confer prior to the filing of any motion where the alleged deficiency is correctable by amendment (e.g., failure to plead fraud with specificity) and should exercise their best efforts to stipulate to appropriate amendments. If such a motion is nonetheless filed, the movant shall include a conspicuous statement describing the specific efforts undertaken to comply with this Practice Standard. Counsel is on notice that

failure to comply with this Practice Standard may subject them to an award of attorney fees and costs assessed personally against them. The requirement to confer shall not apply in cases where the nonmovant is proceeding *pro se*.

9. Motions for Summary Judgment

The parties shall comply with the presiding District Judge's practice standards for any motion for summary judgment referred to the Court. For matters where the Magistrate Judge is the presiding judge, the following standards apply.

A party may not file multiple motions for summary judgment without prior permission from the Court. This procedure contemplates a single motion by each "side." Plaintiffs whose interests are aligned shall file a single motion for summary judgment. Similarly, defendants whose interests are aligned shall file a single motion for summary judgment.

All Judges, except for Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty and Judge Prose require a **Separate Statement of Facts**, in the form of a table. If a Magistrate Judge requires this table, the moving party must initiate the table and provide an editable Word copy to opposing counsel.

10. Motions for Summary Judgment

The parties shall comply with the presiding District Judge's practice standards for any motion for summary judgment referred to the Court. For matters where the Magistrate Judge is the presiding judge, the following standards apply.

A party may not file multiple motions for summary judgment without prior permission from the Court. This procedure contemplates a single motion by each "side." Plaintiffs whose interests are aligned shall file a single motion for summary judgment. Similarly, defendants whose interests are aligned shall file a single motion for summary judgment.

All Judges, except for Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty and Judge Prose require a **Separate Statement of Facts**, in the form of a table. If a Magistrate Judge requires this table, the moving party must initiate the table and provide an editable Word copy to opposing counsel. Opposing counsel shall fill in their responses and return the editable Word copy to the moving party, so the moving party can prepare the final table on reply.⁵ A sample table is set forth below.

⁵ More specifically, the first column shall consist of the undisputed statements of material fact provided by the moving party. In the second column, directly adjacent to the recitation of the moving party's material facts and supporting evidence, the opposing party must state whether the fact is "disputed" or "undisputed." To the extent a fact is disputed, immediately following the word "disputed" in the second column, the opposing party shall state the nature of the dispute and include a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that the fact is in dispute. If the opposing party believes there are additional material facts that have not been addressed by the moving party's statement (*e.g.*, facts regarding an affirmative defense), the opposing party shall set forth in the second column each additional material fact in a simple, declarative sentence supported by a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that fact. Each of these additional material facts shall be numbered sequentially and presented in a separate row following the moving party's statement of material undisputed facts. In the third column, directly

SEPARATE STATEMENT OF FACTS

Moving Party's Undisputed Material Facts and Supporting Evidence	Opposing Party's Response/Additional Facts and Supporting Evidence	Moving Party's Reply and Supporting Evidence
Plaintiff's First Undisputed Fact. Ex. 1 (Defendant's Response to Interrogatory 3).	1. Undisputed.	
2. Plaintiff's Second Undisputed Fact. Ex. 2 (Deposition of John Doe), at 12:5-17.	2. Disputed. Although John Doe testified X, Jane Doe testified Y. Ex. A (Deposition of Jane Doe), at 4:1-6.	2. Jane Doe does not have personal knowledge of the issue and thus her testimony does not create a disputed issue of fact. Ex. A, at 10:11-19.
	3. Defendant's First Additional Fact. Ex. B (Plaintiff's Response to Request for Admission 5).	3. Undisputed.
	4. Defendant's Second Additional Fact. Ex. C (Deposition of Peter Doe), at 21:4-9.	4. Disputed. Peter Doe's testimony is contradicted by a contemporaneous email between John Doe and Jane Doe. Ex. 3 (Jan. 12, 2015 email exchange between John Doe and Jane Doe).

A Magistrate Judge may have additional requirements or instructions with respect to summary judgment motions. To the extent they do, they are noted in the following chart along with each Magistrate Judge's page limits for summary judgment motions.

JUDGE	INDIVIDUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
CU : CN/L : L	
Chief Magistrate	Additional Requirements or Instructions: Parties need not file a
Judge Hegarty	separate "supporting" brief with the motion; all facts and argument shall
	be contained in the single motion. Any party opposing the motion for
	summary judgment shall include a separate section in its response
	admitting or denying each of the moving party's undisputed facts. Each
	admission or denial shall be contained in a separately numbered
	paragraph corresponding to the moving party's paragraph numbering.
	Each denial shall be accompanied by a brief factual explanation and a
	specific reference to evidence in the record supporting the denial. If the
	party opposing the motion believes that there are additional material
	disputed facts that have not been addressed by the moving party's
	statement, the party, in a separate section of the brief, shall set forth
	each additional material fact in a simple, declarative sentence in a

_

adjacent to the opposing party's statement regarding whether the fact is "disputed" or "undisputed," the moving party may include any factual reply regarding the opposing party's response. If the opposing party added additional material facts to the Separate Statement of Facts, the moving party also must state whether the additional facts are "disputed" or "undisputed" in the third column directly adjacent to the additional facts. To the extent a fact is disputed, immediately following the word "disputed" in the third column, the moving party shall state the nature of the dispute and include a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that the fact is in dispute.

separately numbered paragraph. Each additional disputed fact must be supported by a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that fact. If the moving party files a reply in support of its motion, it shall contain: (1) a separate section containing any factual reply the movant wishes to make regarding the opposing party's response to the moving party's statement of undisputed facts, made in separately numbered paragraphs corresponding to the moving party's original paragraph numbering; and (2) a separate section admitting or denying the additional disputed facts set forth by the opposing party, which shall be presented in the same format prescribed above for the opposing party to respond to the moving party's statement of undisputed facts.

Page Limits: No limitation on the number of pages submitted for a motion and briefs under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56; however, the Court may strike the whole or any part of a filing that is prolix, redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous.

Judge Varholak

Additional Requirements or Instructions: Any party opposing the motion for summary judgment must file an updated version of the Separate Statement of Facts in a three-column format as an attachment to its opposition to the motion for summary judgment. The first column shall consist of the undisputed statements of material fact provided by the moving party—i.e., the exact information contained in the moving party's Separate Statement of Facts. In the second column, directly adjacent to the recitation of the moving party's material facts and supporting evidence, the opposing party must state whether the fact is "disputed" or "undisputed." To the extent a fact is disputed, immediately following the word "disputed" in the second column, the opposing party shall state the nature of the dispute and include a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that the fact is in dispute. If the opposing party believes that there are additional material facts that have not been addressed by the moving party's statement (e.g., facts regarding an affirmative defense), the opposing party shall set forth in the second column each additional material fact in a simple, declarative sentence supported by a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that fact. Each of these additional material facts shall be numbered sequentially and presented in a separate row following the moving party's statement of material undisputed facts. The third column of the Separate Statement of Facts shall be left blank. In addition to filing the Separate Statement of Facts with the Court, the opposing party shall provide an editable, electronic version of the document to the moving party for the moving party's use in preparing the updated Separate Statement of Facts to be filed with its reply.

	If the moving party files a reply in support of its motion, it must file a further updated version of the Separate Statement of Facts in a three-column format as an attachment to its reply in support of the motion for summary judgment. The first and second columns shall consist of the exact information contained in the Separate Statement of Facts attached to the opposing party's opposition. In the third column, directly adjacent to the opposing party's statement regarding whether the fact is "disputed" or "undisputed," the moving party may include any factual reply regarding the opposing party's response. If the opposing party added additional material facts to the Separate Statement of Facts, the moving party also must state whether the additional facts are "disputed" or "undisputed" in the third column directly adjacent to the additional facts. To the extent a fact is disputed, immediately following the word "disputed" in the third column, the moving party shall state the nature of the dispute and include a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that the fact is in dispute. Page Limits: Motion and response are limited to twenty (20) pages and replies are limited to ten (10) pages.
Judge Neureiter	Additional Requirements or Instructions: No additional requirements.
	Page Limits: Motion and response are limited to twenty (20) pages and replies are limited to ten (10) pages.
Judge Candelaria	Additional Requirements or Instructions: No additional requirements.
	Page Limits: Motion and response are limited to twenty (20) pages and replies are limited to ten (10) pages.
Judge Dominguez Braswell	Additional Requirements or Instructions: No additional requirements.
	Page Limits: Motion and response are limited to twenty (20) pages and replies are limited to ten (10) pages.
Judge Prose	Additional Requirements or Instructions: More than one motion for summary judgment will be allowed in narrow circumstances where threshold defenses are at issue.

If the party opposing the motion believes that there are additional material disputed facts that have not been addressed by the moving party's statement, the party shall set forth each additional material fact in a separate section in separately numbered paragraphs. Each additional disputed fact must be set forth in a simple declarative sentence and supported by a specific reference to evidence in the record establishing that fact. The moving party shall then respond to each additional disputed fact, by paragraph number, in its reply.

Page Limits: In consent cases, Judge Prose has imposed no page limits for motions and briefs under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56. However, Judge Prose may strike and order to be refiled any filing of excessive length or that contains redundant or immaterial information.

Judge Starnella

Additional Requirements or Instructions: The above requirements do not apply to *pro se* litigants, instead *pro se* litigants will adhere to the following steps:

Step 1: Movant needs to provide an additional statement of undisputed facts in opening the brief, setting forth facts in a declarative sentence supported by specific reference to evidence in record establishing that fact, separately numbered & paragraphed.

Step 2: Non-movant has to include a separate section in response brief that admits or denies movants undisputed facts (each numbered & paragraphed) and denials will include specific reference to evidence in record. If there are additional material disputed facts, put them in a separate section of brief (numbered and paragraphed) and reference to specific evidence in the record.

Step 3: If the moving party replies, the reply needs to contain: separate section with factual reply to the response and movants undisputed facts (numbered & paragraphed corresponding movants original paragraph/numbers) and a separate section admitting or denying additional disputed facts set forth by non-movant in same format.

Page Limits: Motion and response are limited to twenty (20) pages and replies are limited to ten (10) pages.

DISCOVERY DISPUTES⁶

1. General Information

To avoid unnecessary and expensive motions practice, a party may not file an opposed discovery motion without first complying with these discovery dispute procedures. Filing a disputed discovery motion without permission from the Court may result in the motion being stricken and the imposition of appropriate sanctions.

2. <u>Duty to Meet and Confer Before Filing</u>

Parties or counsel must meet and confer in accordance with D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(a), either face to face, by videoconference, or by telephone and not solely by written or email correspondence. The duty to meet and confer requires counsel to be timely and responsive to opposing counsel's effort to confer. Parties should discuss their respective positions in detail and provide a legal and factual basis for each position and any compromise position that would be acceptable.

3. Contacting Chambers with Discovery Disputes

If parties confer and do not successfully reach a resolution, parties must jointly contact Chambers. Some Magistrate Judges require telephone contact, others require email outreach by email. See below for specific instructions associated with each Magistrate Judge.

4. Court Instructions/Resolution

The resolution of discovery disputes and the required submissions may vary depending on the Magistrate Judge and depending on the circumstances surrounding the disputes. However, where a discovery hearing is ordered, it will be held on record. If the matter is appropriate for immediate adjudication, the court will issue an order on record at the setting. If the matter requires additional briefing, the court may set an expedited briefing schedule. No party shall submit documents for in-camera review without prior permission from the court.

See the chart below for specific instructions regarding resolution of a dispute before each Magistrate Judge.

JUDGE INDIVIDUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCOVERY DISPUTE

⁶ These discovery dispute procedures <u>do not</u> apply to *pro se* litigants who are incarcerated. Other than the duty to confer under D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.1(a), these discovery dispute procedures also <u>do not</u> apply to third parties. For example, the subject of a third-party subpoena for documents or for a deposition, may file a motion to quash without complying with the specific procedures. However, a dispute among the *parties* regarding third-party discovery is indeed a discovery dispute, and the parties must comply with these procedures.

Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty

Duty to Meet and Confer: No additional requirements.

Contacting Chambers: The movant must request a discovery conference with the Court by submitting an email, copying all parties, to hegarty_chambers@uscourts.gov. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, cmt. 2015 Amend.

Court Instruction/Resolution: In advance of the discovery conference, the Parties may each prepare a short statement identifying the nature of the dispute(s) and provide the statements and any other documents and information that may assist in the resolution of the issues by email to hegarty_chambers@cod.uscourts.gov, copying all parties. The Court will set a deadline for the permissive submission when setting the conference.

Other: No additional requirements.

Judge Varholak

Duty to Meet and Confer: The parties must meet and confer **in person or on the telephone** and make a reasonable, good faith effort to resolve the discovery dispute without the need for judicial intervention. The parties must discuss their respective positions in detail, providing the legal and factual basis for each position, as well as any compromise position that would be acceptable.

Contacting Chambers: If the parties' efforts to meet and confer are unsuccessful, the parties shall **jointly** call Chambers at 303.335.2365 to arrange for a discovery hearing before the Court on a time and date convenient for all parties. The parties should be prepared to discuss the sufficiency of the parties' meet and confer efforts and the need for briefing of the issues in dispute during this initial call. The parties may request to appear by telephone at the discovery hearing, but the Court encourages the parties to attend in person when practical.

Court Instruction/Resolution: At least three business days prior to the hearing, the parties shall submit via email (Varholak_Chambers@cod.uscourts.gov) a brief joint statement setting out each party's position with regard to each dispute. The joint

out each party's position with regard to each dispute. The joint statement should not be filed on the Electronic Court Filing system. The purpose of the Court's discovery dispute procedures, including the requirement of a joint statement in lieu of seriatim briefing, is to resolve the parties' discovery disputes in the most efficient and cost-effective manner possible. Thus, while the Court does not impose any specific page limitation on the parties' joint statement, the Court encourages the parties to be as succinct as possible, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate.

Documents shall not be submitted for *in camera* review without prior permission from the Court.

Other: The parties shall retain the original copy of all documents submitted to the Court during the discovery dispute process (*e.g.*, joint statements, discovery responses, documents submitted for *in camera* review) until 60 days beyond the later of the time to appeal or the conclusion of any appellate proceedings.

Judge Neureiter

Duty to Meet and Confer: No additional requirements.

Contacting Chambers: Parties should jointly call Chambers if there is a discovery dispute to schedule a prompt telephonic hearing. A failure to cooperate in the calling of Chambers to at least schedule the hearing may result in sanctions.

Court Instruction/Resolution: In advance of the discovery dispute hearing, the Parties should submit a Joint Discovery Dispute Statement ("JDS"), emailed to Chambers at least **two (2) business days** before any related hearing. The JDS must include statements of the Parties' positions with regard to each disputed issue. The court encourages Parties' statements to be as succinct as possible, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate. This is NOT a brief. If discovery requests, responses, or 30(b)(6) notice are at issue, the parties shall attach these as exhibits to the JDS.

Other: No additional requirements.

Judge Candelaria

Duty to Meet and Confer: No additional requirements.

Contacting Chambers: Parties may jointly call Chambers if there is a discovery dispute to schedule a prompt hearing. A failure to cooperate in the calling of Chambers to at least schedule the hearing may result in sanctions.

Court Instruction/Resolution: In the event the Court orders a Joint Dispute Statement ("JDS"), it must be emailed to Chambers at least two (2) business days before any related hearing. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a JDS must include a joint statement on the Parties' positions with regard to each dispute. The court encourages Parties' statements to be as succinct as possible in the JDS, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate. The JDS should also discuss and include headings for names of attorneys who participated in the discovery conferral and the

date the conferences were held. If discovery requests or responses are at issue, the parties shall attach these as exhibits to the JDS. **Other**: No additional requirements. **Judge Dominguez** Duty to Meet and Confer: No additional requirements. Braswell **Contacting Chambers:** If the parties cannot resolve their disputes after meaningful conferral, they may contact Chambers via joint email only. The joint email shall briefly describe the parties' conferral efforts, the disputes at issue, and offer three dates for a videoconference. If the parties prefer an in-person conference they shall so indicate in the email. The law clerk may set a date for a discovery hearing and/or instruct the parties to provide additional information about the dispute. **Court Instructions/Resolution:** In the event the Court orders a Joint Dispute Statement ("JDS"), it must be emailed to Chambers at least three (3) business days before any related hearing. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a JDS must include a joint statement on the Parties' positions with regard to each dispute. The Court encourages Parties' statements to be as succinct as possible in the JDS, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate. The JDS should also discuss and include headings for names of attorneys who participated in the discovery conferral and the date the conferences were held. Other: No additional requirements. **Judge Prose Duty to Meet and Confer:** Parties are allowed to confer over email but must wait at least 24 hours for a response before proceeding. **Contacting Chambers:** Parties may only jointly email Chambers. The email shall briefly describe the parties' conferral efforts and the disputes at issue. **Court Instruction/Resolution:** Often the Court will ask the parties for a Joint Dispute Statement ("JDS") ahead of a discovery hearing. If a JDS is required, the parties must prepare it jointly and email it to Chambers at least **three** (3) **business days** before any related hearing. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a JDS must include a joint statement on the Parties' positions with regard to each dispute. The court encourages the Parties' statements to be as succinct as possible in

the JDS, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate. The JDS should also discuss and

include headings for names of attorneys who participated in the discovery conferral and the date the conferences were held. If the Court permits a discovery motion to be filed, 10 pages each for motion and response. No reply without court leave. **Other:** Footnote 5 above notes these Discovery Dispute Procedures do not apply to pro se litigants who are incarcerated. Judge Prose expands that carve-out to include all *pro se* litigants. Duty to Meet and Confer: No additional requirements. Judge Starnella Contacting Chambers: No specific instructions. **Court Instruction/Resolution:** In the event the Court orders a Joint Dispute Statement ("JDS"), it must be emailed to Chambers at least three (3) business days before any related hearing. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a JDS must include a joint statement on the Parties' positions with regard to each dispute. The Court encourages Parties' statements to be as succinct as possible in the JDS, presenting a short statement of each dispute with citations to legal authority where appropriate. The JDS should also discuss and include headings for names of attorneys who participated in the discovery conferral and the date the conferences were held.

SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES

1. In General

No parties are ever required to settle a case on any particular terms or amounts. However, if a settlement conference is ordered or agreed upon, all parties must participate in good faith pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f). Parties acknowledge that by electing to have the Magistrate Judge conduct a settlement conference, the Magistrate Judge may become privy to information from the Parties that the Magistrate Judge might not otherwise receive. By proceeding with a settlement conference before the Magistrate Judge, the Parties agree that the Judge's participation in the settlement conference shall not be reason to reasonably question their impartiality going forward in the event their case does not settle at the settlement conference. Counsel is ORDERED to discuss this dynamic with their client(s), and all *pro se* parties or counsel must certify in their confidential statement that they or their client(s) have been advised of this dynamic and acknowledge that the Magistrate Judge's participation in the settlement conference shall not be reason to reasonably question their impartiality going forward if the matter does not settle.

Other: No additional requirements.

2. Party Attendance

Unless otherwise ordered, counsel shall have all parties present at settlement conferences, including all individually named parties or a representative of each named entity. Permission to attend a settlement conference remotely are disfavored and will be granted only in the most unusual circumstances. Any party seeking the remote attendance of a party or other representative should contact Chambers with opposing counsel to address the request. To the extent the Court permits a remote appearance, please know that the courthouse is not equipped with wireless access. The individuals appearing in person must bring a laptop and hotspot with them to connect with the individuals appearing remotely.⁷

3. Full Authority

Counsel shall have in attendance all individuals with full authority to negotiate all terms and demands presented by the case, and full authority to enter into a settlement agreement, including, but not limited to, an adjuster, if any insurance company is involved. "Full authority" means that the person has full and unfettered capacity and authority to meet or pay all terms or amounts which are demanded or sought by the other side of the case without consulting with some other person, committee, or agency. If any person has limits upon the extent or amount within which he or she is authorized to settle on behalf of a party, that person does not have "full authority." This requirement is not fulfilled by the presence of counsel or an insurance adjustor alone.

If any party or party representative attends the settlement conference without full authority, fails to attend the proceeding without prior Court approval, or fails to participate in the hearings in good faith, and the case fails to settle, that party may be ordered to pay the attorney's fees and costs of the other side.

4. <u>Settlement Statements</u>

To hold productive settlement discussions on the day of the conference, counsel shall prepare and submit **two** statements:

- (1) The first statement will be e-mailed to the other party, copying Chambers' email.
- (2) The second statement will be **confidential for the Magistrate Judge's eyes only, e-mailed only to Chambers**. Both statements must be e-mailed no later than **five (5) business days** prior to the date of the settlement conference. Neither shall be docketed.

Statements to the opposing party shall contain an overview of the case from the presenter's view and a summary of supporting evidence. The statement to the opposing party may also include a settlement demand or offer.

⁷ Judge Varholak will, for good cause shown, permit parties to appear by telephone. In such cases, the individuals in person must simply have a phone number at which the individual appearing by telephone may be reached. If everyone from one side is permitted to appear by telephone, then they shall provide Chambers with one phone number at which every individual may be reached at the time of the conference.

Confidential statements to Chambers shall contain any additional comments or information a party or counsel wishes to share with the Magistrate Judge, including comments with regard to the perceived weaknesses in your own case, information about the atmosphere or general context of any negotiations or discussions, and any other information that might aide in the resolution of the case. Some Magistrate Judges may decide to issue an order providing specific instructions ahead of a settlement conference. Any such order will supersede the information set out in this section.

5. Pre-Settlement Conference Call

When feasible, the Court may request that lead counsel for each party separately call Chambers to schedule a **fifteen (15) to twenty (20)** minute call ahead of the settlement conference to discuss the nature of the case, the settlement demands, the respective positions of the parties, and any potential impediments to the settlement they might foresee.

JUDGE	INDIVIDUAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SCHEDULING CONFERENCES
Chief Magistrate Judge Hegarty	Settlement Conferences may be scheduled in various ways, including during the Scheduling Conference upon consultation with the parties, by motion of the parties, or on the Court's own initiative. If any party feel that the matter may not be ripe for resolution prior to a Settlement Conference, the parties must inform the Court by motion (when opposed) or by joint phone call/email (if unopposed) to discuss dates for rescheduling or to vacate the Settlement Conference entirely. Submissions totaling more than thirty (30) pages must be submitted to Chambers in hard copy via regular mail or hand delivery.
Judge Varholak	Generally, only the confidential statement to Chambers will be required.
Judge Neureiter	Statements are limited to ten (10) pages . The total number of pages of exhibits attached may not exceed twenty (20) pages . To the extent a party feels strongly that additional exhibits might be useful for settlement discussion purposes, they are permitted to bring a binder with additional exhibits to the settlement conference. Judge Neureiter requires separate pre-settlement conference calls with each counsel.
Judge Candelaria	Statements to the opposing party are limited to ten (10) pages.

	Confidential statements to the Court are informational. They do not need to include arguments and can be relatively informal. The Court prefers the confidential statements as short letters to the Court.
Judge Dominguez Braswell	Statements to the opposing party are limited to ten (10) pages . Confidential statements to the Court are informational. They do not need to include arguments and can be relatively informal. The Court prefers the confidential statements as short letters to the Court.
Judge Prose	Statements are limited to ten (10) pages . The total number of pages of exhibits attached may not exceed twenty (20) pages . To the extent that a party feels strongly that additional exhibits might be useful for settlement discussion purposes, they are permitted to bring a binder with additional exhibits to the settlement conference. Judge Prose also applies the Settlement Conference practice standard to early neutral evaluations and mediations.
Judge Starnella	No additional requirements or instructions.